

# **Pupil Premium Strategy Statement**

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) for the academic years 2021-2022, 2022-2023 and 2023-24 funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

| Detail   | Data  |
|--|---|
| School name  | Long Lawford<br>Primary School                      |
| Number of pupils in school   | 486 (2021-22)<br>492 (2022-23)<br>486 (2023-24)     |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils  | 28% (2021-22)<br>29.9% (2022-23)<br>30.7% (2023-24) |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3-year plans are recommended) | 2021-22<br>2022-23<br>2023-24                       |
| Date this statement was published  | December 2021                                       |
| Date on which it will be reviewed  | July 2024   |
| Statement authorised by  | Claire Stringer                                     |
| Pupil premium lead   | Tracey Tomes  |
| Governor / Trustee lead  | Margaret Patrick                                    |

## **School overview**

# **Funding overview**

| Detail   | Amount  |
|--|---|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year                                    | £187,592.50 (2021-<br>22)<br>£188,360 (2022-23)<br><b>£215,590 (2023-</b><br><b>24)</b> |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year                                 | £19,865 (2021-22)<br>£20,445 (2022-23)<br><b>£20,730 (2023-24)</b>                      |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0  |
| Total budget for this academic year  | £207,457.50 (2021-<br>22)<br>£208,805 (2022-23)<br><b>£236,320 (2023-</b><br><b>24)</b> |

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## **Statement of intent**

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress across the curriculum and grow as well-developed characters, no matter what their starting points.

The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to accomplish our intent, including progress for those who are already high attainers. We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker, are young carers or who have suffered trauma (ACES). The activities we have outlined in this statement are also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

#### What we expect to see:

We want all of our pupils to Love, Learn, **P**ersevere and **S**ucceed, preparing them for life in a changing world and equipping them for the future. We believe outstanding teaching and learning is key to the progress of pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds. This remains a consistent and relentless focus. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers. In addition to this, targeted intervention and support strategies are deployed in order to:

- Improve levels of attainment and progress;
- Close attainment gaps between the disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged groups;
- Enhance the school experience for this pupil group;
- Engage and develop learning through a comprehensive extra-curricular provision;
- Have a clear focus on all disadvantaged pupils, including those with SEND and more able pupils and support pupils in becoming aspirational, confident and successful learners

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs. Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

### Our core aims for Pupil Premium are:

- To raise the attainment and progress of pupils eligible for pupil premium funding so that their performance compares favourably with non-pupil premium peers.
- Address inequalities in education and opportunities of pupils from low-income families and raise attainment of these pupils.

This plan is not prescriptive or exhaustive: our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in assessment and knowing our families, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel.

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge | Detail of challenge   |
|-----------|---|
| number    |   |
| 1         | Social and Emotional Mental Health<br>Our assessments (including pupil profiling), observations and<br>discussions with pupils and families have identified an increase of<br>social, emotional and mental health issues for many pupils, in part<br>due to isolation, and a lack of enrichment opportunities during<br>school closure. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged<br>pupils, including their attainment.<br>Teacher and parental requests for support have increased<br>during/after the pandemic. 61 pupils (59% of whom are<br>disadvantaged) currently require additional support (weekly) from a<br>Learning Mentor with social and emotional needs. |
| 2         | Lack of confidence, aspiration and social skills<br>Observations and discussions with pupils, families and staff have<br>highlighted a significant reduction in social skills and confidence<br>following the pandemic which is impacting in a variety of ways; from<br>pupils resilience, motivation, ability for appropriate play and more.<br>We have seen an increase in playground incidents and higher<br>demand for small group nurture sessions.  |
| 3         | Regular attendance in school<br>Our attendance data over the last year indicates that attendance<br>among disadvantaged pupils was 3.4% lower than whole cohort<br>data.<br>'Persistent Absence' figures are also higher in this group than the<br>whole cohort. Our assessments and observations indicate that<br>absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.  |
| 4         | Learning gaps, specifically in Reading and writing<br>Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and<br>wellbeing of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted<br>by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils.<br>These findings are supported by national studies.<br>This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils<br>falling further behind age-related expectations, especially in Reading<br>and Writing. Last year saw a 10% gap in Reading and 9% gap in<br>Writing attainment between those disadvantaged/non-<br>disadvantaged working at ARE.  |
| 5         | Modelling and use of Standard English and oracy skills<br>Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate<br>underdeveloped oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among<br>many disadvantaged pupils. These are evident from Reception<br>through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our<br>disadvantaged pupils than their peers.  |

# **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome   | Success criteria  |
|--|---|
| To improve pupil's social skills and<br>emotional well-being by implementing<br>strategies to address emotional, social<br>and behavioural needs | Boxall Profile scores improve (by<br>progressing towards the 'normal<br>indicators') by the end of the Nurture<br>course  |
|  | 100% of pupils feel more positive about school by the end of the Nurture Programme  |
|  | All Nurture pupils have demonstrated at<br>least a 50% reduction in behaviour<br>incidences following the programme.  |
|  | All staff to use a restorative approach<br>to understanding and managing<br>behaviour needs   |
|  | Early Help will be offered as required to<br>ensure the whole family is being<br>supported and areas of concern are<br>addressed.                               |
| To ensure effective curriculum training<br>with a focus on improving outcomes for<br>disadvantaged pupils  | Middle leader training to improve the quality of provision and outcomes for disadvantaged pupils (especially in English and oracy) – x3 staff (including cover) |
|  | Senior leader training to improve the quality of provision and outcomes for disadvantaged pupils (especially in English and oracy) – x1 staff                   |
|  | Subject specific CPD for subject<br>champions, focusing on diminishing<br>the gap, especially for disadvantaged<br>learners                                     |
| Learning mentor to focus on and improve<br>the attendance and behaviour of Pupil<br>Premium groups   | Improve PP attendance to 96%<br>(national)  |

| A programme of bespoke workshops for<br>'vulnerable' pupil premium pupils to<br>effectively support learning, social skills,<br>confidence and attendance | Overall PP attendance will be in line with<br>whole school figures<br>Reduce PP Persistent Absence to at least<br>15%<br>Reduce Behaviour incidents by at least<br>50%<br>Increase in parental engagement<br>Increase in daily calling/home visits to<br>ensure pupils attend school.<br>Mentor workbook and Intervention logs |
|---|--|
|   | show increase in PP involvement and<br>80% meeting their bespoke targets.  |
| Daily targeted intervention delivered to  | At least 100% of targeted PP children  |
| targeted PP pupils (non-LA funded) in   | make expected progress across the  |
| order to make accelerated progress from   | school.  |
| their starting points   |  |
|   | At least 70% of targeted PP children make at least accelerated progress.   |
|   | Additional support needs will be<br>identified through data and relevant<br>intervention/additional support put in<br>place  |
|   | The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged children will be narrowed  |
| Improve oracy in Nursery and Reception  | At least 80% of EYFS cohort achieve  |
| through Wellcomm Interventions and  | ARE in communication/Oracy skills  |
| Nuffield Early Literacy Intervention  |  |
|   | At least 100% achieve their SALT   |
| Improve Oracy across the school   | targets throughout the year  |
| (particularly in KS2) for children with SALT  |  |
|   |  |

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

## **Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)**

Budgeted cost: £ 16,982.00

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Restorative<br>Approach Training<br>for whole staff  | Ongoing research indicates that<br>punitive/shaming behaviour<br>systems in schools does not<br>address the underlying behaviours<br>or reasons behind them. A<br>restorative approach helps children<br>to understand the impact their<br>behaviour has on others and<br>supports them with "putting it<br>right". A large number of<br>behaviour incidents across the<br>school are linked to PP pupils,<br>which often are exacerbated by a<br>punitive/shaming behaviour<br>system. | 1, 2, 3, 5                          |
| Identify and deliver<br>relevant training for<br>staff to ensure that<br>learning gaps can be<br>efficiently identified<br>and diminished  | Specific and personalised CPD has<br>been proven to provide educators<br>with the skills and knowledge to<br>identify and address learning gaps<br>via the construction and implemen-<br>tation of timely learning sequences.   | 4                                   |
| Embedding dialogic<br>activities across the<br>school curriculum.<br>These can support<br>pupils to articulate<br>key ideas, consoli-<br>date understanding<br>and extend vocabu-<br>lary.<br>We will purchase re- | There is a strong evidence base<br>that suggests oral language inter-<br>ventions, including dialogic activi-<br>ties such as high-quality classroom<br>discussion, are inexpensive to im-<br>plement with high impacts on read-<br>ing:<br><u>Oral language interventions  </u><br><u>Toolkit Strand   Education Endow-<br/>ment Foundation   EEF</u>  | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5                       |
| sources and fund on-<br>going teacher training<br>and release time (Via<br>Nuffield Early Lan-<br>guage Intervention,<br>Speech and Language<br>interventions, Well-<br>comm Interventions).                       |   |                                     |
| Purchase of a <u>DfE</u><br>validated Systematic<br><u>Synthetic Phonics</u><br><u>programme</u> to secure<br>stronger phonics   | Phonics approaches have a strong<br>evidence base that indicates a<br>positive impact on the accuracy of<br>word reading (though not<br>necessarily comprehension),   | 2, 4, 5                             |

| teaching for all pu-<br>pils. | particularly for disadvantaged pupils:                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
|                               | Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Educa-<br>tion Endowment Foundation   EEF |  |

## Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-toone support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ 105,000.00

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| School-led tutoring<br>for pupils whose<br>education has been<br>most impacted by<br>the pandemic. A<br>significant<br>proportion of the<br>pupils who receive<br>tutoring will be<br>disadvantaged.<br>Focus on Y2 and Y6<br>to enable pupils to<br>be taught in smaller,<br>targeted groups | Tuition targeted at specific needs<br>and knowledge gaps can be an ef-<br>fective method to support low at-<br>taining pupils or those falling be-<br>hind, both one-to-one:<br><u>One to one tuition   EEF (educa-<br/>tionendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u><br>And in small groups:<br><u>Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand  </u><br><u>Education Endowment Foundation  </u><br><u>EEF</u>  | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5                       |
| Targeted<br>intervention in all<br>year groups to<br>diminish learning<br>gaps, with a focus<br>on PP children  | Intervention delivered at least 3x<br>weekly for a period of time (no<br>shorter than 6 weeks) has been<br>shown to diminish gaps and help<br>children to make rapid gains.<br>https://educationendowmentfoun-<br>dation.org.uk/education-evi-<br>dence/teaching-learning-<br>toolkit/teaching-assistant-interven-<br>tions?utm_source=/education-evi-<br>dence/teaching-learning-<br>toolkit/teaching-assistant-interven-<br>tions&utm_me-<br>dium=search&utm_cam-<br>paign=site_search&search_term=in-<br>tervention | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5                       |
| Ensure that assess-<br>ments are rigorously<br>monitored and that<br>any pupils deemed<br>'at risk' receive tar-<br>geted support.  | Standardised tests can provide<br>reliable insights into the specific<br>strengths and weaknesses of each<br>pupil to help ensure they receive<br>the correct additional support<br>through interventions or teacher<br>instruction:   | 4                                   |

| To embed online<br>learning systems, to<br>provide equity in the<br>case of further   | Standardised tests   Assessing and<br>Monitoring Pupil Progress   Educa-<br>tion Endowment Foundation   EEF<br>Effective implementation of the<br>Graduated response (As seen in the<br>SEN Code of Practice) establishes a<br>clear framework and timescales for<br>support to be implemented and re-<br>viewed.<br>COVID-19 pandemic has required<br>schools to provide a remote learning<br>offer. Ensuring that disadvantaged<br>pupils have access to the internet, a | 1, 2, 4, 5    |
|---|--|---------------|
| COVID-19 school dis-<br>ruptions.   | suitable device in which to undertake<br>their remote learning and providing<br>a targeted remote learning offer, will<br>aim to minimise the disruption of<br>closures on a child's learning jour-<br>ney. By providing a suitable device<br>for pupils to use whilst undertaking<br>remote learning, educational equity  |               |
| Embedding dialogic<br>activities across the<br>school curriculum.<br>These can support<br>pupils to articulate<br>key ideas, consoli-<br>date understanding<br>and extend vocabu-<br>lary.                                  | There is a strong evidence base that<br>suggests oral language interven-<br>tions, including dialogic activities<br>such as high-quality classroom dis-<br>cussion, are inexpensive to imple-<br>ment with high impacts on reading:<br>Oral language interventions   Toolkit<br>Strand   Education Endowment<br>Foundation   EEF   | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| We will purchase re-<br>sources and fund on-<br>going teacher train-<br>ing and release time<br>(Via Nuffield Early<br>Language Interven-<br>tion, Speech and<br>Language interven-<br>tions, Wellcomm In-<br>terventions). |  |               |
| Additional phonics<br>sessions targeted at<br>disadvantaged pupils<br>who require further<br>phonics support.<br>This will be delivered<br>in collaboration with  | Phonics approaches have a strong<br>evidence base indicating a positive<br>impact on pupils, particularly from<br>disadvantaged backgrounds.<br>Targeted phonics interventions<br>have been shown to be more<br>effective when delivered as regular<br>sessions over a period up to 12<br>weeks:   | 2, 4, 5       |

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

### Budgeted cost: £ 85,700.00

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Identify disadvan-<br>taged pupils who are<br>at risk of becoming<br>Persistent absentees<br>and those who are<br>persistent absentees,<br>providing effective<br>support to improve<br>attendance in line, if<br>not above national<br>average of 96%<br>Embedding principles<br>of good practice set<br>out in the DfE's<br>Improving School<br>Attendance advice. | Previous cycles of attendance moni-<br>toring in this manner identify im-<br>provement of attendance for pupils<br>following the implementation of<br>support systems.<br>The DfE guidance has been<br>informed by engagement with<br>schools that have significantly<br>reduced levels of absence and<br>persistent absence.  | 1, 2, 3, 4                          |
| To ensure that chil-<br>dren have access to<br>relevant pastoral sup-<br>port services availa-<br>ble via the school's<br>local offer, or via spe-<br>cific access to outside<br>agencies.   | This provides support for social and<br>emotional needs as a result of ex-<br>ternal barriers to learning such as<br>domestic abuse, temporary hous-<br>ing, attendance etc. Disengaged PP<br>pupils develop and maintain a posi-<br>tive outlook towards learning and<br>school life. Progress of PP pupils<br>maintained because of LM interven-<br>tion / coaching.<br>There is extensive evidence<br>associating childhood social and<br>emotional skills with improved<br>outcomes at school and in later life<br>(e.g., improved academic<br>performance, attitudes, behaviour<br>and relationships with peers): | 1, 2, 3                             |

|   | EEF Social and Emotional Learn-<br>ing.pdf(educationendowmentfoun-<br>dation.org.uk)<br>Both targeted interventions and<br>universal approaches can have pos-<br>itive overall effects:<br>EEF behaviour interventions re-<br>search  |            |
|---|---|------------|
|   |   |            |
| To provide nurture<br>support at lunchtime  | By providing alternative arrange-<br>ments in a nurture based environ-<br>ment enables children to emotion-<br>ally regulate and maintain their<br>readiness for learning.  | 1, 2       |
| Provide families with<br>support via a Child<br>and Family Lead, fo-<br>cusing on supporting<br>families outside of<br>school where needed. | Research shows that families re-<br>ceiving timely support are more<br>able to sustain positive change,<br>thus having a positive impact on<br>their child's childhood.   | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| To provide a curricu-<br>lum offer the pro-<br>motes the well-being<br>of pupils  | COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in<br>substantial changes for the children<br>at school. They will have experi-<br>enced a variety of scenarios and<br>providing them with opportunities<br>to focus on PSED will support their<br>wider return to school. Extra-curric-<br>ular access promotes higher levels<br>of engagement. | 1, 2, 4, 5 |
| Employ a counsellor,<br>Art therapist and play<br>therapist to work with<br>children in the school<br>with SEMH needs.                      | There is extensive evidence<br>associating childhood social and<br>emotional skills with improved<br>outcomes at school and in later life<br>(e.g., improved academic<br>performance, attitudes, behaviour<br>and relationships with peers):  | 1, 2, 3    |
|   | EEF Social and Emotional Learn-<br>ing.pdf(educationendowmentfoun-<br>dation.org.uk)  |            |
| Subsidy towards<br>trips/residential visits   | By providing subsidies towards<br>trips and visits that go above and<br>beyond the national curriculum.<br>Disadvantaged pupils will have<br>more opportunity to attend.  | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| Parents of Pupil<br>Premium Children will   | By providing subsidies towards uniform and clubs means that   | 1, 2, 3, 4 |

| <ul> <li>be given access to £100 (per child) to support with paying for: <ol> <li>Uniform purchases via the school</li> <li>Music lessons via the school</li> <li>School-run extra-curricular activities (e.g.,</li> </ol> </li> </ul> | disadvantaged pupils will have<br>more opportunity to attend.<br>The school also provides affordable<br>uniform as well as second-hand<br>uniform for families to access. |  |
|--|---|--|

# Total budgeted cost: £ 207,457.50

# **Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year**

## **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the previous academic year.

Due to COVID-19, performance measures have not been published for 2020 to 2021, and 2020 to 2021 results will not be used to hold schools to account.

### Review of 2020-21

In the academic year 2020-21 we had 146 PP pupils in Reception-Year 6. 150 if Nursery is included. This was 19 more than the previous year and an increase of 4%. Of these 21% were also SEND and 14% were also EAL. 13% of PP children from Y1-Y6 were working within a pre-year group curriculum.

2020-21 Data

This data is based on internal assessment.

<u>Data Analysis (trends and gaps)</u>

|                  | Percentage of PP/FSM chn working at EXP/EXC compared to Non-PP/FSM chn |       |         |      |         |     |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-----|--|--|--|
|                  | Re   | ading | Wri     | ting | Maths   |     |  |  |  |
|                  | EXP/EXC  | EXC   | EXP/EXC | EXC  | EXP/EXC | EXC |  |  |  |
| <u>PP Y1 - 6</u> | 63%  | 19%   | 60%     | 8%   | 65%     | 12% |  |  |  |
| Non-PP Y1 - 6    | 73%  | 31%   | 69%     | 18%  | 78%     | 32% |  |  |  |
| GAP              | 10%  | 12%   | 9%      | 10%  | 13%     | 20% |  |  |  |

Our assessment of the reasons for these outcomes points primarily to Covid-19 impact, which disrupted all our subject areas to varying degrees. As evidenced in schools across the country, school closure was most detrimental to our disadvantaged pupils, and they were not able to benefit from our pupil premium funded improvements to teaching and targeted interventions to the degree we had intended. The impact was mitigated by our resolution to maintain a high quality curriculum, including during periods of partial closure, which was aided by use of online teaching and resources. The impact of Coronavirus on our Pupil Premium children's learning has been significant. Approximately 60% of Pupil Premium children accessed online learning during Lockdown (between March 20th and July 21st 2020).

| Strengths   | Areas for Development  |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>Overall, GAPS between our Non-PP/FSM and<br/>our PP/FSM children have been closing when<br/>we look at expected and exceeding combined<br/>however they still remain high.</li> <li>Over 80% of all PP/FSM children have made<br/>expected progress since baseline with 10%+<br/>making accelerated progress.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Exceeding percentages and GAPs between<br/>Non-PP/FSM and PP/FSM have increased since<br/>baseline.</li> <li>Year 1 have dropped in attainment data from<br/>baseline to end of year in reading writing and<br/>maths – this will need to be a focus for next<br/>year. Interventions have already been<br/>discussed for Aut 1 2021-22.</li> </ul> |

| <ul> <li>Over 80% of all PP/FSM children have made expected progress since their previous Key Stage assessment points.</li> <li>Year 2 have increased their expected &amp; exceeding percentages by 11% in writing and 10% in maths since the start of baseline.</li> <li>Year 3 have increased their expected &amp; exceeding percentages by 12% in writing and 12% in reading since the start of baseline. Their exceeding writing data has increases by 4%.</li> <li>Year 5 have increased their expected &amp; exceeding percentages by 13% in writing and 6% in maths since the start of baseline. They have maintained their reading percentage.</li> <li>Year 6 have increased their expected &amp; exceeding percentages by 4% in writing and reading since the start of baseline. They had a big focus on maths including basic skills and had an increase in 18% of children</li> </ul> |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| meeting expected or exceeding since baseline.   | <ul> <li>expected progress since their previous Key<br/>Stage assessment points.</li> <li>Year 2 have increased their expected &amp;<br/>exceeding percentages by 11% in writing and<br/>10% in maths since the start of baseline.</li> <li>Year 3 have increased their expected &amp;<br/>exceeding percentages by 12% in writing and<br/>12% in reading since the start of baseline.<br/>Their exceeding writing data has increases by<br/>4%.</li> <li>Year 5 have increased their expected &amp;<br/>exceeding percentages by 13% in writing and<br/>6% in maths since the start of baseline. They<br/>have maintained their reading percentage.</li> <li>Year 6 have increased their expected &amp;<br/>exceeding percentages by 4% in writing and<br/>reading since the start of baseline. They have<br/>had a big focus on maths including basic skills<br/>and had an increase in 18% of children</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>generally low across the school for our<br/>PP/FSM children. End of year pupil progress<br/>meetings have identified children to targeted<br/>across the school as soon as the children<br/>return after summer. Focus on developing<br/>children reaching expected by end of next<br/>academic a year and an aspirational target for<br/>90% + of all PP/FSM children to make<br/>expected progress from baseline.</li> <li>Year 4 Reading and maths data has dipped<br/>slightly by 3% but have had movement of<br/>children joining and leaving their cohort (4</li> </ul> |

Attendance last academic year was at 92.1% for PP/FSM pupils whilst whole school figure was 95.5%. On average this was a 1% increase on the previous academic year.

Our assessments and observations indicated that pupil behaviour, wellbeing and mental health were significantly impacted last year, primarily due to COVID-19related issues. The impact was particularly acute for disadvantaged pupils. We used pupil premium funding to provide wellbeing support for all pupils, and targeted interventions where required. We are building on that approach with the activities detailed in this plan.

### **Review of 2021-22**

In the academic year 2021-22 we had 146 PP pupils in Reception-Year 6. 147 if Nursery is included. Of these 20% were also SEND and 9.5% were also EAL. 14.4% of PP children from Y1-Y6 were working within a pre-year group curriculum in one or more subject areas.

### 2021-22 Data

| Pupil Premium |         | Read   | ing   | Writ   | ing   | Maths  |       |
|---------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|               |         | At Exp | Above | At Exp | Above | At Exp | Above |
|               | PP (9)  | 11.1%  | 0.0%  | 11.1%  | 0.0%  | 11.1%  | 0.0%  |
| Y1            | Non PP  | 66.7%  | 15.2% | 62.1%  | 7.6%  | 74.2%  | 13.69 |
| Y2            | PP (26) | 46.2%  | 11.5% | 26.9%  | 3.8%  | 46.2%  | 3.89  |
|               | Non PP  | 61.9%  | 28.6% | 47.6%  | 11.9% | 66.7%  | 28.69 |
| Y3            | PP (20) | 45.0%  | 15.0% | 50.0%  | 0.0%  | 50.0%  | 5.0%  |
|               | Non PP  | 64.2%  | 28.3% | 58.9%  | 10.7% | 71.4%  | 23.29 |
| Y4            | PP (27) | 70.4%  | 18.5% | 51.9%  | 14.8% | 59.3%  | 11.19 |
|               | Non PP  | 57.1%  | 28.6% | 59.5%  | 23.8% | 64.3%  | 26.29 |
| Y5            | PP (20) | 65.0%  | 25.0% | 45.0%  | 10.0% | 60.0%  | 20.09 |
|               | Non PP  | 86.0%  | 40.0% | 86.0%  | 20.0% | 86.0%  | 34.09 |
| Y6            | PP (31) | 67.7%  | 12.9% | 45.2%  | 0.0%  | 64.5%  | 12.9% |
|               | Non PP  | 84.5%  | 24.1% | 74.1%  | 0.0%  | 79.3%  | 19.09 |

antage of DD/ECM childr working at EVD/EV/ d to Non DD/ECM chr

| Pupil Premium |         | Readi | ng    | Writir | ng    | Maths |       |
|---------------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Pupili        | Premium | Exp   | Exc   | Exp    | Exc   | Exp   | Exc   |
| Y1            | PP (9)  | 89.0% | 1.0%  | 89.0%  | 1.0%  | 77.8% | 33.0% |
| Y2            | PP (26) | 88.0% | 8.0%  | 73.1%  | 3.8%  | 88.5% | 11.5% |
| (3            | PP (20) | 85.0% | 25.0% | 80.0%  | 15.0% | 75.0% | 15.09 |
| Y4            | PP (27) | 96.0% | 18.5% | 92.6%  | 25.9% | 96.3% | 14.89 |
| Y5            | PP (20) | 85.0% | 1.0%  | 80.0%  | 5.0%  | 85.0% | 0.09  |
| Y6            | PP (31) | 87.0% | 12.9% | 64.5%  | 9.7%  | 90.3% | 6.49  |

- Overall; 88% reading, 79.8% Writing, 85.5% Maths making expected progress
- Biggest gaps between PP/Non PP are generally lower down the school where COVID has potentially had more of an impact. Particularly Y1
- Progress across the school is good, with the progress for Y6 PP children in writing being the lowest
- Year 4 have consistently made the most progress this year across the board to close the gap
- Accelerated progress is more evident within Y3/Y4
- Although progress is good, attainment data is generally low across the school for our PP/FSM children. End of year pupil progress meetings have identified children to targeted across the school as soon as the children return after summer. Focus on developing children reaching expected by end of next academic a year
- School-Led Tutoring scheme has been applied in Y2 and Y6 to run 1;1 or small group targeted interventions to bridge gaps in learning. These started Aut 2 and continued during the year. In Sum 2 we branched out to use this tutoring to support Y1 and Y5 ahead of next academic year.
- 56 pupils have been highlighted for this. Of which 34 (61%) are PP children. The school pays 25% of costs for this. This is delivered by supply teachers.
- Pupil Progress Agenda now highlights PP/SEND learners.
- 2 staff PP champions to raise profile of PP
- Outside Therapies are inclusive of PP children; Art therapy 80% PP, Play therapy 100%

Attendance for academic year 2021-22 was 91.43% for PP pupils compared to 94.67% for non PP pupils.

Club and extra-curricular engagement was monitored throughout the year with PP children more frequently engaging in externally led extra-curricular clubs. Overall, 13.8% of club attendance was attributed to PP children.

Moving forward the following actions have been highlighted within the LIP/SEF; All vulnerable groups make at least expected progress from their individual starting points

Those as identified as Pupil Premium make accelerated progress in reading

The gap between PP and Non-PP closes by 5% across the school in Reading, Writing and Maths

### Review of 2022-23

In the academic year 2022-23 we had 169 PP pupils in Reception-Year 6. 175 if Nursery is included. This increased throughout the course of the year to these totals. Of these 14.8% were also SEND and 18.3% were also EAL. 13.6% of PP children from Y1-Y6 were working within a pre-year group curriculum in one or more subject areas.

### 2022-23 Data

PP children working at Expected/Exceeding compared to Non-PP Children.

| _             |             | Read           | ling | Writ   | ting         | Ma   | ths   | Scier   | ice |
|---------------|-------------|----------------|------|--------|--------------|------|-------|---------|-----|
| Pupil Premium |             | At Exp Above   |      | At Exp | At Exp Above |      | Above | Exp Exc |     |
|               | PP (19)     | 53%            | 11%  | 47%    | 5%           | 58%  | 5%    | 58%     | 5   |
| Y1            | Non PP (36) | 50%            | 22%  | 53%    | 6%           | 58%  | 17%   | 67%     | (   |
|               | GAP         | 3%             | -11% | -6%    | -1%          | 0%   | -12%  | -9%     | 5   |
|               | PP (17)     | 18%            | 0%   | 6%     | 0%           | 35%  | 0%    | 18%     | (   |
| Y2            | Non PP (63) | 71%            | 25%  | 53%    | 10%          | 69%  | 16%   | 69%     | 8   |
|               | GAP         | -53%           | -25% | -47%   | -10%         | -34% | -16%  | -51%    | -{  |
|               | PP (33)     | 39%            | 1%   | 21%    | 6%           | 36%  | 6%    | 52%     |     |
| Y3            | Non PP (43) | 70%            | 26%  | 51%    | 14%          | 65%  | 33%   | 74%     | 23  |
|               | GAP         | -31%           | -25% | -30%   | -8%          | -29% | -27%  | -22%    | -20 |
| Y4            | PP (21)     | 43%            | 14%  | 38%    | 0%           | 38%  | 5%    | 57%     | (   |
| ۲4            | Non PP (65) | 66%            | 29%  | 59%    | 8%           | 68%  | 31%   | 80%     | 20  |
|               | GAP         | -23%           | -15% | -21%   | -7%          | -30% | -26%  | -23%    | -20 |
| Y5            | PP (38)     | 50%            | 13%  | 45%    | 8%           | 55%  | 8%    | 63%     | 11  |
| t5            | Non PP (48) | 65%            | 27%  | 65%    | 15%          | 73%  | 29%   | 81%     | 21  |
|               | GAP         | -15%           | -14% | -20%   | -7%          | -18% | -21%  | -18%    | -10 |
| Y6            | PP (28)     | Data not in    | yet  | 57%    | 0%           |      |       |         |     |
| ٢O            | Non PP (60) |                |      | 78%    | 10%          |      |       |         |     |
|               | GAP         |                |      | -21%   | -10%         |      |       |         |     |
|               |             | Key            |      |        |              |      |       |         |     |
|               |             | proved from E  |      |        |              |      |       |         |     |
|               |             | d the same fro |      |        |              |      |       |         |     |
|               | De          | creased from   |      |        |              |      |       |         |     |
|               |             | GAP Decreas    |      |        |              |      |       |         |     |
|               |             | GAP Increase   | ed   |        |              |      |       |         |     |

PP children making expected/accelerated progress from baseline.

| Progres       | s         |              |         |         |     |       |     |         |     |
|---------------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----|
| Pupil Premium |           | Reading      |         | Writing |     | Maths |     | Science |     |
| Pupi          | i Premium | Exp          | Exc     | Exp     | Exc | Exp   | Exc | Ехр     | Exc |
| Y1            | PP (19)   | 74%          | 5%      | 58%     | 0%  | 95%   | 5%  | 100%    | 5%  |
| Y2            | PP (17)   | 94%          | 12%     | 100%    | 18% | 88%   | 24% | 94%     | 189 |
| Y3            | PP (33)   | 88%          | 18%     | 82%     | 3%  | 76%   | 6%  | 91%     | 189 |
| Y4            | PP (21)   | 90%          | 5%      | 81%     | 0%  | 76%   | 5%  | 90%     | 59  |
| Y5            | PP (38)   | 87%          | 9%      | 95%     | 16% | 97%   | 16% | 97%     | 9%  |
| Y6            | PP (28)   | Data not in  | yet     | 89%     | 29% |       |     |         |     |
|               |           | Key          |         |         |     |       |     |         |     |
|               | 9         | 0%+ Exp Pro  | gress   |         |     |       |     |         |     |
|               | 8         | 0%+ Exp Pro  | gress   |         |     |       |     |         |     |
|               | Less 1    | than 80% Exp | Progres |         |     |       |     |         |     |

Moving forward the following actions have been highlighted within the LIP/SEF;

Ensure vulnerably pupil groups (SEND, PP, EAL, CLA, CPSW, New Starters) make at least expected progress from individual starting points. Those as identified as Pupil Premium make at least expected progress with X% making accelerated progress in reading. The gap between PP and Non-PP closes by 5% across the school in Reading, Writing and Maths.

Attendance for the academic year 2022-23 was at 89.75% for PP/FSM pupils whilst whole school figure was 94.96%. On average this was a decrease of 3% on the previous academic year.

The data and analysis of this suggests that disadvantaged families struggled more with attendance due to a multitude of factors which include; anxieties/stress post covid, some families struggling with routine and consistency, health concerns, parental barriers.

## **Externally provided programmes**

*Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England* 

| Programme           | Provider |
|---------------------|----------|
| NELI                | NELI     |
| School-led tutoring | Supply   |